

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,911	03/31/2004	Hiroshi Saegusa	07409.0029	5705
22852	7590 05/30/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			RATCLIFFE, LUKE D	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	c

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,911	SAEGUSA, HIROSHI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Luke D. Ratcliffe	3662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rendahl (20020084431).

Referring to claim 1, Rendahl shows a light source (figure 9 Ref 110), a light reflecting means (figure 9 Ref 112) wherein said light reflecting means has a function of retroreflecting incident light (figure 9), and a unit that detects a passing object as the object traverses the light path and interrupts reception of the reflected light by the light reciving sensor (paragraph 10-18).

Referring to claim 2, Rendahl shows a light receiving sensor and a light source disposed on an opposite side as the light reflecting means (figure 9).

Referring to claim 3, Rendahl shows an optical member that transmits a portion of light and reflects a portion of light (figure 9 Ref 114).

Referring to claim 4, Rendahl shows a light source that is a laser (figure 9 Ref 110).

Referring to claim 5, Rendahl shows a device for measuring a velocity of a passed object (figure 9), a light source (figure 9 Ref 110), a light reflecting means (figure 9 Ref 112) wherein said light reflecting means has a function of retroreflecting incident

light (figure 9), and an optical member that transmits a portion of light and reflects a portion of light (figure 9 Ref 114), the light source and light receiving sensor are disposed on a side opposite to the light reflecting means with respect to the passing object (figure 9), a light path of light reflected by the light reflecting means and a light path of light incident on the light reflecting means are aligned with each other (figure 9), the optical member is disposed on the light path between the light source and the reflecting means (figure 9).

Referring to claim 6, Rendahl shows a light reflecting means has a function of retroreflecting incident light (figure 9).

Referring to claim 7, Rendahl shows a light source that is a laser (figure 9 Ref 110).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over King (5634855) in view of Rendahl (20020084431).

Referring to claims 5 and 6, King shows a device for measuring a velocity of a passed object (figure 1). Rendahl shows a device for measuring a velocity of a passed

object (figure 9), a light source (figure 9 Ref 110), a light reflecting means (figure 9 Ref 112) wherein said light reflecting means has a function of retroreflecting incident light (figure 9), and an optical member that transmits a portion of light and reflects a portion of light (figure 9 Ref 114), the light source and light receiving sensor are disposed on a side opposite to the light reflecting means with respect to the passing object (figure 9), a light path of light reflected by the light reflecting means and a light path of light incident on the light reflecting means are aligned with each other (figure 9), the optical member is disposed on the light path between the light source and the reflecting means (figure 9).

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rendahl (20020084431).

Referring to claims 9 and 10, it would be obvious to use a retroreflective sheet on a plate to be the light reflecting means because this is well know and adds no new or unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Page 5

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luke D. Ratcliffe whose telephone number is 571-272-3110. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,911

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LDR

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6